

**Intertextuality, heteroglossia, and systemic
functional linguistics: A framework for
analysing ideology**

**John McAndrew
B.Sc. Psychology, M.A. App. Ling.**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy in the Division of linguistics and Psychology, Macquarie University, 28
September, 2001

Contents

List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	ix

1. Introduction

1.1 The focus of the present study	1
1.2 Key notions in the present study	5
1.3 Aims.....	7
1.4 The ideology framework.....	7
1.5 Theoretical bases	8
1.6 The construction of discourse formations (DFs)	13
1.6.1 The construction of discourse formations (DFs) in the NSWFA text	14
1.6.2 Intertextual discourse formations (IDFs).....	16
1.7 Analysis	24
1.7.1 The texts analysed.....	25
1.7.2 Six stages of analysis	27
1.7.3 The systems of meaning-making investigated in the analysis.....	27
1.7.4 Competing Discourses and the analysis	28
1.7.4.1 The Government's aims	31
1.7.4.2 Perspectives of CD and TID.....	33
1.8 The original nature of the present study	35
1.9 Summary	38
1.9 Thesis layout	39

2.1 Overview of SFL Theory

2.1.1 Introduction.....	41
2.1.2 SFL in relation to logico-philosophical linguistics	42
2.1.3 Language as social semiotic.....	46
2.1.4 The functional nature of language.....	51

2.1.5 Text.....	55
2.1.6 Text and context.....	60
2.1.7 Register.....	83
2.1.8 Criticism of SFL.....	93
2.1.9 SFL and the present study.....	95
2.2 Ideology	
2.2.1 Introduction.....	99
2.2.2 History.....	101
2.2.3 Ideology as symbolically articulated.....	109
2.2.3.1 This study's conception of ideology.....	108
2.2.3.2 Critical and neutral conceptions of ideology.....	111
2.2.4 Ideology and the linguistic sign.....	121
2.2.4.1 Theme and meaning.....	123
2.2.5 Analysing ideology: ideology and language.....	124
2.2.5.1 Intertextuality and ideology.....	128
2.2.5.2 Discourse and Ideology.....	129
2.2.5.3 The order of discourse and language.....	137
2.2.6 Conclusion.....	139
2.3 Intertextuality	
2.3.1 Introduction.....	141
2.3.2 The sign.....	142
2.3.3 The sign and intertextual semantics.....	146
2.3.4 Aligning texts for intertextual significance.....	147
2.3.5 Analysing text semantics.....	150
2.3.5.1 The different meanings of a lexical item.....	150
2.3.5.2 Going beyond the analysis of lexical items.....	152

2.3.5.3 Text semantics	155
2.3.5.4 Text thematic formations and intertextual thematic formations	157
2.3.6 Relating Lemke’s theory of intertextuality to the present study	159
2.3.6.1 Discourse formations and thematic formations	159
2.3.6.1.1 Discourses and IDFs.....	164
2.3.7 Heteroglossia and social order	164
2.3.7.1 Compositional and metadiscursive relations between formations.....	167
2.3.8 Conclusion.....	173
3. Methodology: A Framework for analysis	
3.1 Introduction	175
3.2 A skeleton overview of the methodology.....	175
3.3 Theory	176
3.4 The choice of data	176
3.4.1 Choosing texts for intertextual relevance	178
3.4.1.1 Intertextual bases for selection.....	179
3.4.1.2 Choosing intertextual texts and the context of situation.....	181
3.4.1.3 Positioning data using Martin’s ‘issues as ideological systems’ model.....	182
3.4.2 Data chosen and positionings on the issues as ideological systems model.	187
3.5 Analysing the texts	190
3.5.1 The visual modality.....	191
3.5.2 The genre of the text	192
3.5.3 Clausal semantics.....	192
3.5.4 Clausal semantics in relation to the DFs of the core participants	194
3.5.5 DFs of the core participants in relation to DFs in CD and TID	195
3.5.6 Relations between core participants in the NSWFA text in comparison to relations between core participants in CD and TID	196
3.6 Validity	197
4. Analysis: The ideology of the NSWFA text	
4.1 Introduction	198
4.2 The different meaning-making systems analysed.....	202

4.3 Considerations of Analysis	220
4.3.1 The rationale for analysis at the clause level	220
4.3.2 Core participants	220
4.3.3 The ordering of reading of the text.....	221
4.3.4 Sub-headings used in the analysis.....	223
4.3.5 Capitalization	224
4.3.6 Key for the lexicogrammatical box diagrams	225
4.4 Analysis of the visual modality	226
4.4.1 Composition: The arrangement of text and images.....	226
4.4.2 Interpersonal: A consideration of direct Gaze.....	230
4.4.3 Ideational: Representation of the Actors	232
4.5 Genre.....	233
4.5.1 The narrative genre and the NSWFA text	233
4.5.2 The genre of advertising as a resource.....	235
4.5 The construction of the core participants: The core participants as DFs in the NSWFA text: Clausal analysis	237
4.6.1 Clause 1: <i>NSW Forest Agreements</i>	238
4.6.2 Clause 2: <i>Something [[2.1we can all build on]]</i>	245
4.6.3 Clause 3: <i>NSW Forest Agreements</i>	253
4.6.4 Clause 4: <i>Helping trees and jobs live together</i>	256
4.6.5 Clause 5: <i>The forests belong to everyone</i>	262
4.6.6 Clause 6: <i>We depend on them for the air we breath, for biodiversity.</i>	268
4.6.7 Clause 7: <i>And for jobs.</i>	273
4.6.8 Clause 8: <i>NSW Forest Agreements are plans for our forests,</i>	279
4.6.9 Clause 9: based on extensive social, economic and environmental research.	283
4.6.10 Clause 10. The goals are [[10.1 to improve our environment, our quality of life//10.2 and to secure jobs]]	288
4.6.11 Clause 11: The NSW Government is ensuring the long term acceptance of Forest Agreements	296
4.6.12 Clause 12: by working with many different parts of the community – the timber industry, unions, conservationists, scientists and Aboriginal communities.	301
4.6.13 Clause 13: The first NSW Forest Agreement will be centred around Eden on the New South Wales south coast.....	309
4.6.14 Clause 14: Other forests in New South Wales will soon be covered by Forest Agreements	313
4.6.15 Clause 15: securing this natural resource for us all, now and in the future	318
4.6.16 Clause 16: <i>Go to www.racac.nsw.gov.au</i>	323
4.6.17 Clause 17: <i>NEW SOUTH WALES GOVERNMENT</i>	327

4.7 Intertextual and heteroglossic relations: A comparison of constructions in the NSWFA text and those in CD and TID.....	331
4.7.1 The construction of CD	331
4.7.2 The construction of TID	337
4.7.3 The construction of [FORESTS]: [FORESTS] as TDF	340
4.7.4 NSWFA text: The construction of [NSWFAs]: [NSWFAs] as TDF	344
4.7.5 NSWFA text: The construction of [GOVERNMENT]: [GOVERNMENT] as TDF	348
4.7.6 NSWFA text: The construction of [WE] and [COMMUNITY]: [WE] and [COMMUNITY] as TDFs	352
4.7.7 NSWFA text: The construction of [JOBS]: [JOBS] as TDF	356
4.7.8 A Comparison of relations between the DFs of core participants in the NSWFA text in relation to constructions between the DFs in CD and TID.....	358
4.7.9 Summary diagrams of the different relations between DFs of core participants in the NSWFA text, CD and TID.....	373
4.8 Summary of semantic propositions.....	377
4.9 Conclusion of analysis section.....	383
5. Discussion/conclusion	
5.1 Summary of findings.....	387
5.1.1 The process of the NSWFA text	387
5.1.2 The inter-play between the systems of meaning.....	390
5.1.3 Linguistic Drift	392
5.1.4 Decoding the message of the text.....	392
5.2 Criticisms of the analysis.....	393
5.2.1 The size of the corpus.....	393
5.2.2 The complexity of analysis of texts from CD and TID	394
5.2.3 Relations between DFs within a text as heteroglossic.....	394
5.3 Areas for further study.....	395
5.3.1 A coding schedule for relations towards and between DFs	395
5.3.2 Construction of a theoretical framework for mapping heteroglossic relations and consideration of a level of significance	396
5.3.3 Mapping thematic formations (TFs) and relating them to maps of discourse formations (DFs).....	413
5.3.4 Bernstein's codes	418

5.4 Discussion of the social positioning of the NSWFA text in relation to wider social forces	420
5.4.1 The NSWFA text as Government ideology	420
5.4.2 The consequences of the NSWFA text.....	423
5.4.2 A wider perspective: The NSWFA text and paradigm shifts	425
5.5 The significance of the present study	430
5.5.1 Criteria for analysis and the positing of a framework for analysis	431
5.5.2 The location of the present study in relation to SFL and Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA).....	433
5.5.2 The present study and multiaccentuality of the sign.....	434
5.5.4 Ideological differences in texts.....	435
5.6 Conclusion	436
Appendices	441
References.....	571

List of figures

Figure 1.1 Ideology framework.....	8
Figure 1.2 Construction of a DF	14
Figure 1.3 Construction of [FORESTS] in the NSWFA text	15
Figure 1.4 A hypothetical example of total potential IDF's for [FORESTS].....	17
Figure 1.5 Relations between DFs.....	19
Figure 1.6 Constellation of relationships between DFs of core participants in the NSWFA text.	20
Figure 1.7 Relations between DFs in a text and the heteroglossic relations constructed to relations between DFs in significantly framing Discourses.	21
Figure 1.8 Relations between DFs of core participants in the NSWFA text and the heteroglossic relations constructed to relations between DFs in CD and TID.....	22
Figure 2.1.1. Text as process: actualization of the potential of the system	57
Figure 2.1.2. Paradigmatic and syntagmatic axes	58
Figure 2.1.3. Context of situation as instance of context of culture	64
Figure. 2.1.4 Culture, meaning, and situation	67
Figure 2.1.5. Strata for linguistic description.....	68
Figure 2.1.6. The natural and arbitrary relationships of realization in language seen as a semiotic system which interfaces with the material world at both ends.....	77
Figure 2.1.7. Metaredundancy	79
Figure 2.1.8 Realization between the four strata and instance as instantiation of the potential at each stratum	82
Figure 2.1.9. Context of culture and context of situation along dimension of longterm potentiality	84
Figure 2.1.10 Lexicogrammatical realizations of register-semantic systems	86
Figure 2.1.11 Language and its semiotic environment	88
Figure 2.1.12 Locating the Discourses in terms of potentiality and in relation to language	92
Figure 2.2.1. A theoretical basis for a methodological framework for the analysis of ideology	127
Figure 2.2.2 discourse (small 'd') as instantiation of Discourses.....	136
Figure 2.3.1. A typography of intertextual relations between two texts which allow for their recognition as significant contexts for each other	149
Figure 2.3.2. The different word meanings in Lemke's model	150
Figure 2.3.3. Heteroglossic relationships between thematic formations	170
Figure 3.1 Issues as ideological systems	182
Figure 3.2 Issues as ideological systems	186
Figure 3.3 Data chosen in relation to positioning on the framework.....	189
Figure 4.1. Functional structuring in the visual layout of the text	227
Figure 4.2 Image of the NSWFA text for comparison with the functional structuring shown in figure 4.1	228
Figure 4.3 Generic components of the NSWFA text.....	234
Figure 4.4 Relations towards [CD].....	335
Figure 4.5. Relations towards [CONSERVATIONISTS]	336
Figure 4.6 Relations between [TID] and [CONSERVATIONISTS].....	336
Figure 4.7. Relations towards [TID].....	338
Figure 4.8. Relations constructed in CD towards [TIMBER INDUSTRY].....	339
Figure 4.9 Relations between [TID] and [TIMBER INDUSTRY].....	339
Figure 4.10. Relations towards [FORESTS].....	342
Figure 4.11 Relations between [TID] and [FORESTS].....	343
Figure 4.12 Relations between [TID] and [FORESTS].....	343
Figure 4.13 Thematic progression in the NSWFA text.....	346
Figure 4.14 Relations towards [NSWFAs]	347
Figure 4.15 Relations towards [NSWFAs]	347
Figure 4.16. Relations between [TID] and [NSWFAs].....	348
Figure 4.17 Relations towards [GOVERNMENT]	350
Figure 4.18 Relations between [CD] and [GOVERNMENT]	351

Figure 4.19 Relations between [TID] and [GOVERNMENT]	351
Figure 4.20 Relations towards [COMMUNITY]	354
Figure 4.21 Relations towards [COMMUNITY]	355
Figure 4.22. Relations between [TID] and [COMMUNITY]	355
Figure 4.23 Relations towards [JOBS].....	356
Figure 4.24 Relations towards [JOBS].....	357
Figure 4.26 Relations between [FORESTS] and [NSWFAs]	359
Figure 4.27 Relations between [FORESTS] and [NSWFAs]	360
Figure 4.28 Relations between [FORESTS] and [NSWFAs]	360
Figure 4.29 Relations between [FORESTS] and [GOVERNMENT].....	361
Figure 4.30 Relations between [FORESTS] and [GOVERNMENT].....	361
Figure 4.31 Relations between [FORESTS] and [GOVERNMENT].....	362
Figure 4.32 Relations between [FORESTS] and [GOVERNMENT].....	363
Figure 4.33 Relations between [FORESTS] and [COMMUNITY]	363
Figure 4.34 Relations between [FORESTS] and [COMMUNITY]	363
Figure 4.35 Relations between [FORESTS] and [JOBS].....	364
Figure 4.36 Relations between [FORESTS] and [JOBS].....	364
Figure 4.37 Relations between [FORESTS] and [JOBS].....	365
Figure 4.38 Relations between [NSWFAs] and [GOVERNMENT].....	366
Figure 4.39 Relations between [NSWFAs] and [GOVERNMENT].....	366
Figure 4.40. Relations between [NSWFAs] and [GOVERNMENT].....	366
Figure 4.41 Relations between [NSWFAs] and [COMMUNITY]	367
Figure 4.42 Relations between [NSWFAs] and [COMMUNITY]	367
Figure 4.43 Relations between [NSWFAs] and [COMMUNITY]	368
Figure 4.44 Relations between [NSWFAs] and [JOBS].....	368
Figure 4.45 Relations between [NSWFAs] and [JOBS].....	369
Figure 4.46 Relations between [NSWFAs] and [JOBS].....	369
Figure 4.47 Relations between [GOVERNMENT] and [COMMUNITY].....	370
Figure 4.48 Relations between [GOVERNMENT] and [COMMUNITY].....	370
Figure 4.49 Relations between [GOVERNMENT] and [COMMUNITY].....	371
Figure 4.50 Relations between [GOVERNMENT] and [JOBS]	371
Figure 4.51 Relations between [GOVERNMENT] and [JOBS]	372
Figure 4.52 Relations between [GOVERNMENT] and [JOBS]	372
Figure 4.53 Relations between the DFs of core participants in the NSWFA text.....	374
Figure 5.1. A diagram of intertextual relations between DFs. Letters refer to different DFs.	397
Figure 5.2. Relations between [FORESTS] and [JOBS].....	399
Figure 5.3. Relations towards [JOBS].....	400
Figure 5.4. Relations between [FORESTS] and [JOBS].....	400
Figure 5.5 The positioning of [GOVERNMENT] in relation to [FORESTS] and [JOBS]	401
Figure 5.6. Relations towards [GOVERNMENT].....	405
Figure 5.7. Relations between [GOVERNMENT] and [JOBS]	406
Figure 5.8. Relations between [FORESTS] and [GOVERNMENT].....	407
Figure 5.9. Relations between [GOVERNMENT] and [JOBS]	407
Figure 5.10. Relations between [FORESTS] and [JOBS].....	408
Figure 5.11. Relations between [COMMUNITY], [GOVERNMENT], [FORESTS] and [JOBS].....	410
Figure 5.12. Relations between [GOVERNMENT] and [COMMUNITY].....	411
Figure 5.13. Relations between [GOVERNMENT] and [COMMUNITY].....	412
Figure 5.14. The TF relating [RFAs] to [TIMBER COMMUNITY] in TID.....	416
Figure 5.15. The TF relating [TIMBER COMMUNITY] to [RFA] in TID	417

List of tables

Table 1.1 Abbreviations used in the thesis 4
Table 1.2 Key for figure 1.8..... 23
Table 4.1 Ordering of clause analysis in the NSWFA text. 223
Table 4.2 Abbreviations used in the analysis (reproduced from section 1.1 above) 224
Table 4.3 Abbreviations of lexicogrammatical functions used in the box diagrams 225
Table 4.4 Instances of CD in the NSWFA text. 332
Table 4.5 Instances of TID in the NSWFA text 337
Table 4.6 A comparison of semantic propositions relating to [FORESTS] 378
Table 4.7 A comparison of semantic propositions relating to [NSWFAs] 379
Table 4.8 A comparison of semantic propositions relating to [GOVERNMENT]..... 380
Table 4.9A comparison of semantic propositions relating to [COMMUNITY] 381
Table 4.10 A comparison of semantic propositions relating to [JOBS]..... 382

Intertextuality, heteroglossia, and systemic functional linguistics: A framework for analysing ideology

Abstract

This study is concerned with presenting a framework for the analysis of ideology. Ideology is seen as a symbolically articulated phenomenon, understandable through a detailed description of the intertextual and heteroglossic relations that a text enacts in tandem with analysis of the patterns of foregrounding within the text. Through the investigation, this study aims to map significantly relevant elements of the context of culture (Malinowski 1935; Halliday and Hasan 1985) for a particular text. It adopts the systemic functional linguistic model both for lexicogrammatical analysis and for defining the context-text relationship (e.g. Halliday and Hasan 1985; Hasan 1995, 1999; Matthiessen 1993).

In order to exemplify the framework one central text is analysed in the context of its relevant intertexts – the realizations of the meanings put forward by the various social groups which significantly ‘frame’ that text. The central text is an Australian New South Wales Government advertisement for NSW Forest Agreements (hereafter NSWFA text). Corresponding texts, realizing and instantiating intertextually significant Discourses, are positioned for analysis using the ‘issues as ideological systems’ model (Martin 1985, 1986). Lemke’s conception of intertextual semantics (e.g. Lemke 1995a) is used to show how meanings in the NSWFA text construe meanings in two competing Discourses (those of the timber industry and of conservationism). Examination of the meaning-making choices in the lexicogrammar, rhetorical structure, appraisal, visual modality and multimodality is carried out on the NSWFA text in order to realise the consistently foregrounded patterns of meanings (Hasan 1985; Halliday and Hasan 1985). Based on these findings, discourse formations, which can be understood as the lexicogrammatical semantic relations in which a participant is enmeshed together with its intertextually derived meanings, are constructed for the core participants in the NSWFA text. These are then compared with discourse formations in the texts of the surrounding Discourses to reveal the heteroglossic relations that the text enacts. By analysis of the instantiation of meanings made in the surrounding texts – the Discourse voices of social groups in the community - and of the heteroglossic value-orientations constructed towards these social groups, the framework is able to put on display the ideology of the text.

DECLARATION

I certify that this work is the result of my own research and that the work has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other university or institution.

Signed: *John McArthur*

Date: *12/11/02*

Acknowledgments

I sincerely thank all those who have supported me in the completion of this thesis. I am particularly grateful to my supervisor, Head of Linguistics at Macquarie University, David Hall, and to Rhondda Fahey and Dr. David Butt.

In many ways my greatest thanks must go to my wife Paula, and children Jade, Sian, and Rosie for their encouragement, understanding and love.