Polarization change induced by a galvanometric optical scanner

Gabriele Anzolin,1,* Arnaud Gardelein,1 Marc Jofré,1 Gabriel Molina-Terriza,1,2 and Morgan W. Mitchell1

1ICFO-Institut de Ciències Fotòniques, Parc Mediterrani de la Tecnologia, 08860 Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain
2ICREA-Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, 08010 Barcelona, Spain
*Corresponding author: gabriele.anzolin@icfo.es

Received March 19, 2010; revised June 28, 2010; accepted July 15, 2010; posted July 19, 2010 (Doc. ID 125743); published August 10, 2010

We study the optical properties of a two-axis galvanometric optical scanner constituted by a pair of rotating planar mirrors, focusing our attention on the transformation induced on the polarization state of the input beam. We obtain the matrix that defines the transformation of the propagation direction of the beam and the Jones matrix that defines the transformation of the polarization state. Both matrices are expressed in terms of the rotation angles of two mirrors. Finally, we calculate the parameters of the general rotation in the Poincaré sphere that describes the change in the polarization state for each mutual orientation of the mirrors. © 2010 Optical Society of America
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1. INTRODUCTION

An optical scanner is a device constituted by two rotating planar mirrors that are used to deflect a laser beam along two perpendicular directions [1]. Among the different scanning techniques already developed, galvanometer-based scanners (galvos) offer flexibility, speed, and accuracy at a relatively low cost. In fact, optical scanners based on the current galvo technology permit one to obtain closed-loop bandwidths of several kilohertz and step response times in the 100 μs range even for beams with large radii. Moreover, a resolution at the microradian level can be achieved within a large scanning field, which is usually of the order of 20°.

Because of this superb properties, galvo scanners are the preferred solutions in many industrial and scientific applications requiring fast and precise beam steering capabilities, like medical imaging, information handling, laser display, and material processing [2]. In addition, galvos could find potential applications in any practical context where the light beam that should be steered and/or stabilized with a high precision also has a well defined state of polarization, like in interferometry with polarized light [3], in ellipsometry [4], or in polarization-sensitive optical coherence tomography [5]. Another important application might be in single-photon polarization-based quantum communications and quantum key distribution between two moving terminals, where a galvo scanner placed at the transmitter could be used to point and track the receiver with a high accuracy. However, as the incidence angles of the beam with the two mirrors vary as functions of their mutual position, the corresponding Fresnel coefficients [6] are subjected to a time-dependent change that affects the polarization state of the input beam. Therefore, in this kind of applications it is of primary importance to understand how the polarization state of the output beam changes as a function of the combined motion of the mirrors.

The problem of the propagation of a polarized beam within a galvo scanner does not seem to have been treated before in the literature, since previous works were mainly aimed at studying beam path and image distortions [7–10]. Moreover, previous studies of the changes in the polarization state caused by reflections of a light beam upon moving mirrors refer to optical configurations quite different from that of an optical scanner, like the Coudé focus of a telescope [11], sky scanners [12] and coelostats [13], or to more general cases of two-mirror pointing and tracking systems [14–16]. For this reason, the principal purpose of the present work is to give a theoretical description of the effects on the polarization state of a light beam caused by the motion of the two mirrors of a galvo scanner.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the optical configuration of a galvo scanner and calculate the matrix that gives the propagation direction of the output beam. In Section 3 we obtain the Jones matrix of the galvo scanner. In Section 4 we discuss the polarization change under conditions of lossless mirrors, while in Section 5 we give a description in terms of rotation operators.

2. GALVO SCANNER

A. Optical Scheme

In general, a galvo scanner allows one to control independently the direction of the output beam along two perpendicular axes by rotating the two mirrors about the axis of the corresponding galvanometers. We shall call this axis the “rotation axis” of the mirrors. The first mirror (M1) controls the deflection of the output beam along the horizontal direction (left/right), while the second mirror (M2) controls the deflection along the vertical direction (up/down). Both these deflections are usually referred to a predefined “zero position” of M1 and M2. Given a right-
handed reference frame \((x,y,z)\), we consider the galvo mirrors to be at their zero positions when an input beam initially propagating along the positive \(x\) axis will come out along the positive \(y\) axis. According to this definition, the wave vector of the input beam is \(\mathbf{k}_1=[1,0,0]\), while that of the output beam is \(\mathbf{k}_2=[0,1,0]\).

The mutual orientation of the two mirrors when they are at the zero positions is a critical parameter that defines the performances of a galvo scanner. In fact, the distance between their surfaces and the angular range of the scanning field usually require the size of \(M2\) to be larger than that of \(M1\). Because of this, \(M2\) is the component that strongly limits the speed of the entire scanning system.

In the simplest scheme of a galvo scanner, the rotation axes of \(M1\) and \(M2\) correspond with the positive \(y\) axis and the negative \(x\) axis, respectively. The zero position is achieved when the vector normal to the reflective surface of \(M1\) is \(\mathbf{N}^{\perp}_{M1}=(1,0,0)\) and the vector normal to the surface of \(M2\) is \(\mathbf{N}^{\perp}_{M2}=(-1,0,0)\). Starting from this simple configuration, the optical design is usually optimized by rotating \(M1\) by an angle of \(\gamma_1=15^\circ\) about the positive \(x\) axis as schematically shown in Fig. 1. This configuration presents the advantage to reduce both the width and moment of inertia of \(M2\) and reduce the overall size of the scanner, with only slight limitations on the speed with respect to the simple scheme. In the following, we will consider this optimized design because it is the most common configuration of current galvo scanners.

In order to find the zero positions of the mirrors, we have first to introduce the criterion to describe rotations that will be used throughout the paper. Any rotation by an angle \(\vartheta\) about an axis \(\mathbf{a}\) will be defined using the “right-hand rule,” such that a vector \(\mathbf{v}\) rotates according to \(\mathbf{dv} = \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{v} \, d\vartheta\). For example, a rotation about the positive \(x\) axis rotates \(y\) toward \(z\) and \(z\) toward \(-y\). According to this criterion, the zero position of \(M1\) is obtained by starting from a configuration in which the normal to its surface is directed along the negative \(x\) axis and, then, by rotating it by \(45^\circ\) about the positive \(y\) axis and by an angle \(\gamma_1\) about the positive \(x\) axis. It can be easily shown that the zero position of \(M2\) is simply obtained, starting from a configuration in which the normal to its surface is directed along the positive \(y\) axis, by rotating it about the positive \(x\) axis by an angle of \(\gamma_2=45^\circ-\gamma_1=27.5^\circ\).

The deflection of the output beam along the \(x\) axis (horizontal) is the result of a rotation of \(M1\) by an angle \(\alpha\) about its rotation axis, starting from its zero position. Therefore, the normal to the surface of \(M1\) is generally defined by

\[
\mathbf{N}_{M1} = \begin{bmatrix}
-\cos(\alpha + 45^\circ) \\
-\sin(\alpha + 45^\circ)\sin \gamma_1 \\
\sin(\alpha + 45^\circ)\cos \gamma_1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]  

Instead, the motion of the output beam along the \(z\) axis (vertical) is achieved by rotating \(M2\) by an angle \(\beta\) about its rotation axis, starting from its zero position. In general, the normal to the surface of \(M2\) has the following vectorial expression:

\[
\mathbf{N}_{M2} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\cos(\gamma_2 + \beta) \\
-\sin(\gamma_2 + \beta)
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Obviously, when \(\alpha \neq 0\) or \(\beta \neq 0\), the propagation vector of the output beam, \(\mathbf{k}_3\), is no longer parallel to the \(y\) axis.

**B. Geometrical Transformation Matrix**

Before proceeding with the analysis of the polarization transformation we need to calculate the matrix that transforms the initial propagation direction \(\mathbf{k}_1\) into the final one, \(\mathbf{k}_3\). We assume that the mirrors are perfectly flat, with no deformation occurring while rotating. As a matter of fact, galvo mirrors are usually mass balanced about their centers of rotation. This solution presents the minimum polar moment of inertia and, therefore, permits one to minimize the bending moments that are generated whenever an eccentric mass is rotated [2]. Under this condition, \(M1\) and \(M2\) have no dioptric power and the beam can be always considered as collimated throughout its propagation [7].

The reflection of a ray upon a mirror whose normal has components \([N_x,N_y,N_z]\) is generally described by the matrix [18]

\[
\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 - 2N_x^2 & -2N_yN_x & -2N_zN_x \\
-2N_xN_y & 1 - 2N_y^2 & -2N_zN_y \\
-2N_xN_z & -2N_yN_z & 1 - 2N_z^2
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Therefore, the reflection matrix associated with \(M1\) is obtained by using the components of the normal vector shown in Eq. (1):
This matrix clearly illustrates how the rotation of M1 results in a deflection of the output beam along the x axis, while the rotation of M2 corresponds to a deflection along the z axis. In fact, the final propagation vector of a beam initially propagating along the positive x axis will be

\[
\mathbf{k}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(2\alpha) \\ \cos(2\alpha)\cos(2\beta) \\ \cos(2\alpha)\sin(2\beta) \end{bmatrix}.
\]

(7)

According to the notation used here, a rotation of M1 by a positive (negative) \( \alpha \) angle implies a deflection toward the positive (negative) \( x \) axis, while a rotation of M2 by a positive (negative) \( \beta \) is related to a deflection toward the negative (positive) \( z \) axis.

3. POLARIZATION TRANSFORMATION

The calculation of the polarization state of the output beam is made following a procedure quite similar to that explained in [14], which is essentially a polarization ray-tracing approach [17]. For a galvo scanner, the phenomenon which mainly affects the polarization of the output beam is the reflection upon the two mirrors. When the characteristics of a mirror are known, the effects on the polarization state of a light beam due to reflection can be treated using the Jones matrices and the Fresnel coefficients [6]. These coefficients give the amount of absorption and phase retard induced by the reflective element on the components of the electric field of the input beam along the parallel (p) and perpendicular (s) directions to the plane of incidence. Here we consider a mirror constituted by a single metallic surface with a complex refractive \( n \), for simplicity. Assuming propagation through air, the Fresnel coefficients are defined as

\[
r_p(n, \theta) = \frac{\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}{\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2)},
\]

(8)

where \( \theta_1 \) is the incidence angle upon the mirror, \( \sin \theta_1 = n_0 \sin \theta_1/n \), and \( n_0 \) is the refractive index of the air. In Eqs. (8) and (9) we have neglected the dependence of the refractive indices on the wavelength because we are considering a laser beam with a very narrow spectral bandwidth.

We consider an input beam propagating along the direction \( \mathbf{k}_1 = [1, 0, 0] \). Its polarization plane coincides with the \( (y, z) \) plane and the corresponding Jones vector is \( \mathbf{E}_1 = [E_{13}, E_{11}] \), where the components are in general complex. The beam intersects M1 with an angle of incidence \( \theta_1 \) given by the dot product

\[
\cos \theta_1 = \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{N}_{M1}
\]

(10)

and, after being reflected, its direction of propagation is defined by the vector

\[
\mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{R}_{M1}\mathbf{k}_1.
\]

(11)

Since the Fresnel coefficients are referred to the \( p \) and \( s \) directions with respect to the incidence plane, the Jones vector \( \mathbf{E}_1 \) has to be expressed in the \( (p_1, s_1) \) basis relative to the incidence plane with M1:

\[
\mathbf{s}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \times \mathbf{k}_2}{|\mathbf{k}_1 \times \mathbf{k}_2|}, \quad \mathbf{p}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{s}_1 \times \mathbf{k}_1}{|\mathbf{s}_1 \times \mathbf{k}_1|}.
\]

(12)

This change of basis is defined by a two-dimensional (2D) rotation of the coordinate system:

\[
\begin{bmatrix} E_{1p} \\ E_{1s} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}(\eta_0) \begin{bmatrix} E_{13} \\ E_{11} \end{bmatrix},
\]

(13)

where

\[
\mathbf{R}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}
\]

(14)

and \( \eta_0 \) is the angle subtended by \( y \) and \( p_1 \).
In general, the $\phi$ angle subtended by two unit vectors $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ could be calculated by using the dot product $\cos \phi = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$. However, the dot product just provides the smallest positive angle subtended by the two unit vectors and, therefore, the resulting $\phi$ would be found in the [0, $\pi$] interval. To avoid this problem, we introduce the function $\text{ang}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ that provides the $\phi$ angle in the [$-\pi$, $\pi$] range because it can discriminate between clockwise and counterclockwise rotations. In our case, vectors $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ lay in a plane perpendicular to the local propagation vector of the beam, $\mathbf{k}$. The $\text{ang}$ function is defined as follows: after obtaining the vector

$$ \mathbf{c} = \frac{\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}}{|\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}|}, $$

(15)

one has to calculate the scalar $u = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{k}$. It turns out that $u=1$ if the $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ vectors are parallel, or $u=-1$ if they are anti-parallel. The correct angle between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ is finally given by

$$ \phi = \text{ang}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = u \arccos (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}). $$

(16)

According to this definition, the rotation angle in Eq. (13) is $\eta_0 = \text{ang}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}_1)$.

The $p$ and $s$ basis associated with the beam reflected by $M_1$ is defined by the vectors

$$ s_2 = s_1, \quad p_2 = \frac{s_2 \times k_2}{|s_2 \times k_2|}. $$

(17)

The Jones vector $\mathbf{E}_2 = [E_{2p}, E_{2s}]$ of this beam can be calculated using the Jones matrix of $M_1$:

$$ \begin{bmatrix} E_{2p} \\ E_{2s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1p}(\vec{n}_1, \theta_1) & 0 \\ 0 & r_{1s}(\vec{n}_1, \theta_1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{1p} \\ E_{1s} \end{bmatrix}, $$

(18)

where $r_{1p}$ and $r_{1s}$ are the complex Fresnel coefficients for $M_1$, while $\vec{n}_1$ is its complex refractive index.

Then, the beam propagates along $k_2$ and is reflected by the second mirror. In this case, the incidence angle is

$$ \cos \theta_2 = k_2 \cdot \mathbf{N}_{M_2}, $$

(19)

and the final direction of propagation is given by

$$ k_3 = \mathbf{R}_{M_2} k_2 = \mathbf{G} k_1. $$

(20)

The $p$ and $s$ vectors related to the reflection by $M_2$ are

$$ s_1' = \frac{k_2 \times k_3}{|k_2 \times k_3|}, \quad p_1' = \frac{s_1' \times k_2}{|s_1' \times k_2|}, $$

(21)

for the incident beam, and

$$ s_2' = s_1', \quad p_2' = \frac{s_2' \times k_2}{|s_2' \times k_2|}, $$

(22)

for the reflected beam. While propagating between $M_1$ and $M_2$, the Jones vector of the beam can be expressed as a function of either the $(p_2, s_2)$ basis or the $(p_1', s_1')$ basis according to

$$ \begin{bmatrix} E_{2p}' \\ E_{2s}' \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}(\eta_1) \begin{bmatrix} E_{2p} \\ E_{2s} \end{bmatrix}, $$

(23)

where $\eta_1 = \text{ang}(\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1')$.

Finally, the $p$ and $s$ components of the Jones vector $\mathbf{E}_3$ associated with the output beam are given by

$$ \begin{bmatrix} E_{3p} \\ E_{3s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{2p}(\vec{n}_2, \theta_2) & 0 \\ 0 & r_{2s}(\vec{n}_2, \theta_2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{2p}' \\ E_{2s}' \end{bmatrix}, $$

(24)

where $r_{2p}$ and $r_{2s}$ are the complex Fresnel coefficients for $M_2$, while $\vec{n}_2$ is its complex refractive index. It is useful to project $\mathbf{E}_3$ into a reference system defined by the local horizontal ($h$) and vertical ($v$) directions. The two unit vectors must form a left-handed reference frame together with $k_3$:

$$ h = \frac{z \times k_3}{|z \times k_3|}, \quad v = \frac{k_3 \times h}{|k_3 \times h|}. $$

(25)

Also in this case, the transformation from the $(p_2', s_2')$ basis to the $(h, v)$ basis is a 2D rotation:

$$ \begin{bmatrix} E_{3h} \\ E_{3v} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}(\eta_2) \begin{bmatrix} E_{3p} \\ E_{3s} \end{bmatrix}, $$

(26)

where $\eta_2 = \text{ang}(\mathbf{p}_2', \mathbf{h})$. All the unit vectors introduced in this section have been drawn in Fig. 1 for clarity.

In summary, the Jones matrix describing the transformation of the polarization state of a collimated beam after passing through the galvo scanner is

$$ \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \eta_2 & \sin \eta_2 & r_{2p} & 0 & \cos \eta_1 & \sin \eta_1 \\ -\sin \eta_2 & \cos \eta_2 & 0 & r_{2s} & -\sin \eta_1 & \cos \eta_1 \\ r_{1p} & 0 & \cos \eta_2 & \sin \eta_2 \\ 0 & r_{1s} & -\sin \eta_2 & \cos \eta_2 \end{bmatrix}, $$

(27)

where we have dropped the explicit dependences on the refractive indices and the incidence angles, for simplicity. Since the Fresnel coefficients of the Jones matrices shown in Eqs. (18) and (24) are in general complex, then both $E_{3h}$ and $E_{3v}$ will be complex and the final polarization state will be in general elliptical.

### 4. LOSSLESS MIRROR APPROXIMATION

The Jones matrix of a galvo scanner shown in Eq. (27) is a product of rotation matrices and Jones matrices of mirrors. The latter have the following common form:

$$ \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} r_p & 0 \\ 0 & r_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \rho_p \exp(i \phi_p) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_s \exp(i \phi_s) \end{bmatrix}, $$

(28)

where we have put in evidence the complex nature of the Fresnel coefficients $r_p$ and $r_s$. The determinant of this matrix $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \rho_p \rho_s \exp(i (\phi_p + \phi_s))$ is, in general, a complex number different from unity. For this reason, $\mathbf{A}$ is not unimodular unless $\rho_p \rho_s = 1$ and $\phi_p = -\phi_s$. However, the $\mathbf{A}$ matrix can be always reduced to a product between a complex constant and a unimodular matrix:
\[
A = \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2} \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \left(\phi_1 + \phi_2\right)\right) \begin{bmatrix}
A \exp(i\Phi) & 0 \\
0 & A^{-1} \exp(-i\Phi)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(29)

where \(A = \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}\) and \(\Phi = (\phi_2 - \phi_1)/2\).

In the case of mirrors with a high reflectivity it is always found that 0.95 \(\leq \rho_2 / \rho_1 \leq 1\) for a wide range of incidence angles [18]. Therefore, the \(A\) factor can be approximated to unity (lossless mirrors) and the Jones matrix becomes totally equivalent to that of a simple phase retarder:

\[
A = \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2} \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \left(\phi_1 + \phi_2\right)\right) \exp(i\Phi) \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}. 
\]

(30)

We then assume that the two Jones matrices of the mirrors in Eq. (27) refer to the lossless case. Under this condition, neglecting the constant phase factor and the attenuation factor in Eq. (30), the approximated version of the Jones matrix of a galvo scanner is given by the product

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \eta \sin \eta_2 & 0 \\
-\sin \eta \cos \eta_2 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \exp(i\Phi_2) \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \eta_1 \sin \eta_1 & 0 \\
-\sin \eta_1 \cos \eta_1 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \exp(i\Phi_1) \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \eta_0 \sin \eta_0 & 0 \\
-\sin \eta_0 \cos \eta_0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(31)

where \(\Phi_1 = (\phi_2 - \phi_1)/2\) and \(\Phi_2 = (\phi_3 - \phi_2)/2\).

The \(\eta_0\) angle is defined as the angle function of the unit vectors \(y\), which is a constant, and \(\mathbf{p}_1\), which is given by a vector triple product involving only \(\mathbf{k}_1\) and \(\mathbf{k}_2\). As shown in Eq. (11), \(\mathbf{k}_2\) is the result of the product between the reflection matrix of \(M_1\) and \(\mathbf{k}_1\), which is a constant and coincides with the constant unit vector \(\mathbf{x}\) in our assumptions. Therefore, \(\eta_0\) only depends on \(\mathbf{R}_{M1}\), which is a function of only the \(a\) and \(\gamma_1\) angles. Following a similar reasoning it can be shown that both \(\eta_1\) and \(\eta_2\) are functions of only \(a\), \(\beta\), and \(\gamma_1\).

The phase differences \(\Phi_1\) and \(\Phi_2\), instead, are related to the complex exponent of the Fresnel coefficients, which depends on the complex refractive indices of the mirrors and the incidence angles. According to the definitions given in Eqs. (10) and (19), \(\theta_1\) is a function of the constant vector \(\mathbf{k}_1\) and the normal vector to \(M_1\), while \(\theta_2\) is a function of \(\mathbf{k}_2\) and the normal vector to \(M_2\). As a result, we have \(\Phi_1 = \Phi_1(\hat{n}_1, \alpha, \gamma_1)\) and \(\Phi_2 = \Phi_2(\hat{n}_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma_1)\). If the input beam is always kept at a fixed direction and the mechanical properties of the galvo scanner do not change with time, which means constant values of \(\gamma_1\) and of the complex refractive indices of the mirrors, then the \(\mathbf{M}\) matrix is just a function of the \(a\) and \(\beta\) angles.

As a useful example, we consider a galvo scanner with bare silver mirrors and a collimated laser beam at 850 nm. Since both \(M_1\) and \(M_2\) are made of the same material, they also have the same complex refractive index \(\bar{n} = 0.152 + 5.678i\) at that wavelength [19]. We then take a number of polarization states of the input beam and calculate the corresponding final state obtained by varying the rotation angles \(a\) and \(\beta\) of \(M_1\) and \(M_2\) in the range \([-20°, 20°]\) with steps of 0.1°. The polarization states of the input beam are chosen in order to fill as much as possible the Poincaré sphere, which means ellipticity in the range \([-1, 1]\) with steps of 0.01 and azimuth in the range \([-90°, 90°]\) with steps of 1.8°.

For each initial state and for each mutual position of the galvo mirrors, we obtain the exact output state by using the Jones matrix of Eq. (27), as well as the approximated version provided by Eq. (31). In Fig. 2 we show the azimuth and the ellipticity of the output states obtained considering four linear polarization states of the input beam (ellipticity equal to zero), i.e., horizontal (\(H_1 = [1, 0]\)), vertical (\(V_1 = [0, 1]\)), linear at 45° (\(45_1 = [1, 1]/\sqrt{2}\)), and linear at −45° (−45_1 = [1, −1]/\sqrt{2}), while in Fig. 3 we report the values obtained using right circular (\(R_1 = [1, −1]/\sqrt{2}\)) and left circular (\(L_1 = [1, 1]/\sqrt{2}\)) initial states (ellipticity equal to 1). Both figures show the exact output states and the corresponding approximated version for comparison.

In order to define the degree of reliability of the lossless mirror approximation, we calculate the absolute values of the differences between the azimuths and ellipticities of the states obtained by using the two versions of the Jones matrix. We find that the absolute value of the difference
It is well known that any unitary and unimodular matrix $\mathbf{U}$ represents a rotation on the Poincaré sphere:

$$
\mathbf{U} = \exp\left(-i \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{\delta}{2}\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{a} = [\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z]$, $\mathbf{n} = [\sin \vartheta \cos \phi, \sin \vartheta \sin \phi, \cos \vartheta]$ is a unit vector defining the rotation axis ($\varphi$ is the longitude and $\vartheta$ is the latitude), and $\delta$ is the rotation angle about $\mathbf{n}$. The $\mathbf{U}$ matrix can be always expressed in terms of a constant $a_0$ and a vector $\mathbf{a} = [a_x, a_y, a_z]$ as

$$
\mathbf{U} = a_0 \mathbf{1} + i \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} =
$$

$$
a_0 \mathbf{1} + i a_x \sigma_x + i a_y \sigma_y + i a_z \sigma_z,
$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity matrix, $a_0 = \cos(\delta/2)$, $|\mathbf{a}| = \sin(\delta/2)$, and $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{a}/|\mathbf{a}|$. The transformation matrix of the galvo scanner expressed in Eq. (31) can be decomposed according to this scheme, thus giving:

$$
a_0 = -\sin \Phi_1 \sin \Phi_2 \cos(\eta_0 - \eta_1 + \eta_2)
$$

$$
+ \cos \Phi_1 \cos \Phi_2 \cos(\eta_0 + \eta_1 + \eta_2),
$$

$$
a_x = -\sin \Phi_1 \cos \Phi_2 \sin(\eta_0 - \eta_1 - \eta_2),
$$

$$
- \cos \Phi_1 \sin \Phi_2 \sin(\eta_0 + \eta_1 - \eta_2),
$$

$$
a_y = -\cos \Phi_1 \cos \Phi_2 \sin(\eta_0 + \eta_1 + \eta_2),
$$

$$
+ \sin \Phi_1 \sin \Phi_2 \sin(\eta_0 - \eta_1 - \eta_2),
$$

$$
a_z = \sin \Phi_1 \cos \Phi_2 \cos(\eta_0 - \eta_1 - \eta_2)
$$

$$
+ \cos \Phi_1 \sin \Phi_2 \cos(\eta_0 + \eta_1 - \eta_2).
$$

For each configuration of the two mirrors of the galvo scanner, i.e., for each pair of rotation angles $\alpha$ and $\beta$, Eqs.

5. ROTATION OPERATORS

Following a notation commonly used in quantum mechanics, the approximated Jones matrix of a galvo scanner [Eq. (31)] can be represented as a product of rotation operators $[20]$:

$$
\mathbf{M} = \exp(-i \sigma_x \eta_2) \exp(i \sigma_y \Phi_2) \exp(-i \sigma_y \eta_1) \exp(i \sigma_x \Phi_1)
$$

$$
\times \exp(-i \sigma_z \eta_0),
$$

(32)

where the Pauli matrices are

$$
\sigma_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. 
$$

(33)

For this reason, the $\mathbf{M}$ matrix is unitary and unimodular.
(36) can be used to calculate the instantaneous rotation axis \( \mathbf{n} \) and the corresponding rotation angle \( \delta \) that define the transformation of the polarization state of the input beam.

Figures 4 and 5 show the results obtained by considering a galvo scanner with the characteristics described in Section 4 for various combinations of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). Note that the instantaneous rotation axis coincides with the negative \( z \) axis for \( \alpha = 0^\circ \) and \( \beta = 7.5^\circ \).

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the optical properties of a two-axis galvanometric optical scanner in order to understand how the polarization state of an input beam changes at the output of the system. We obtained the transformation matrix that maps the propagation direction of the input beam into the propagation direction of the output beam, as well as the Jones matrix that maps the initial polarization state into the final one. Both these matrices have been expressed as functions of the rotation angles \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), therefore permitting one to predict the output polarization state for any allowed position of two galvo mirrors. This change corresponds to a general rotation of the polarization state in the Poincaré sphere, where both the instantaneous rotation axis and the rotation angle are functions of both the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) angles.

Although the numerical results presented here have been obtained by considering a particular orientation of the two galvo mirrors in their zero positions, the analytical expressions can also be applied also to any other configuration of the optical scanner simply by using the appropriate normal vectors, as well as to any kind of mirrors having protection coating by using the appropriate form of the Fresnel coefficients.
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